



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I

www.sciencedirect.com



Number theory

# On the cohomology of semi-stable $p$ -adic Galois representations



*Sur la cohomologie des représentations galoisiennes  $p$ -adiques semi-stables*

Yi Ouyang, Jinbang Yang

Wu Wen-Tsun Key Laboratory of Mathematics, School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, PR China

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 7 December 2013

Accepted 17 April 2014

Available online 16 May 2014

Presented by Jean-Marc Fontaine

## ABSTRACT

Let  $K$  be a field of characteristic 0 complete with respect to a non-trivial discrete valuation with perfect residue field  $k$  of characteristic  $p > 0$ . Let  $V$  be a  $p$ -adic representation of the absolute Galois group of  $K$ . We compute explicitly Kato's filtration on the continuous cohomology group  $H^1(K, V)$ . When  $k$  is finite, we give a simple proof of Hyodo's celebrated result  $H_g^1(K, V) = H_{st}^1(K, V)$  when  $V$  is a potentially semi-stable Galois representation.

© 2014 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

## R É S U M É

Soit  $K$  un corps de caractéristique 0 complet pour une valuation discrète non triviale à corps résiduel parfait  $k$  de caractéristique  $p > 0$ . Soit  $V$  une représentation  $p$ -adique du groupe de Galois absolu de  $K$ . On calcule explicitement la filtration de Kato sur le groupe de cohomologie continue  $H^1(K, V)$ . Lorsque  $k$  est fini, on en déduit une preuve simple du résultat bien connu de Hyodo qui dit que, si  $V$  est potentiellement semi-stable, alors  $H_g^1(K, V) = H_{st}^1(K, V)$ .

© 2014 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

## 1. Explicit computation of Kato's filtration on the Galois cohomology

We fix a prime number  $p$  and a perfect field  $k$  of characteristic  $p > 0$ . We denote  $K_0$  the fraction field of Witt vectors with coefficients in  $k$  and we fix a finite totally ramified extension  $K$  of  $K_0$ . We choose an algebraic closure  $\bar{K}$  of  $K$  and set  $G_K = \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ .

The topological  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -vector spaces  $V$  equipped with a linear and continuous action of  $G_K$  form, in an obvious way, a  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -linear additive exact category  $C_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(G_K)$ . For any object  $V$  of this category and  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , we denote  $H^i(K, V) = H_{\text{cont}}^i(G_K, V)$  the  $i$ -th group of continuous cohomology (see Tate [7, §2]). Given a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow V' \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow V'' \longrightarrow 0$$

E-mail addresses: [yiouyang@ustc.edu.cn](mailto:yiouyang@ustc.edu.cn) (Y. Ouyang), [yjb@mail.ustc.edu.cn](mailto:yjb@mail.ustc.edu.cn) (J. Yang).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.crma.2014.04.008>

1631-073X/© 2014 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

of  $C_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(G_K)$ , we have an obvious exact sequence<sup>1</sup>

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(K, V') \rightarrow H^0(K, V) \rightarrow H^0(K, V'') \rightarrow H^1(K, V') \rightarrow H^1(K, V) \rightarrow H^1(K, V'')$$

With the extension  $\bar{K}/K$  are associated the  $p$ -adic completion  $C$  of  $\bar{K}$  and the usual rings of  $p$ -adic periods  $B_{dR}$ ,  $B_{cris}$  and  $B_{st}$  which are topological rings equipped with a  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -linear and continuous action of  $G_K = \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$  (cf. [3] or [4]).

Let's choose a non-zero topologically nilpotent element  $\pi$  of  $K$  and a sequence  $\varpi = (\varpi^{(n)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of elements of  $\bar{K}$  such that  $\varpi^{(0)} = \pi$  and  $(\varpi^{(n+1)})^p = \varpi^{(n)}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Recall that this choice defines an element  $u = \log[\varpi]$  of  $B_{st}$  and that we can view also  $u$  as an element of  $B_{dR}$  by deciding that  $\log(\pi) = 0$  (then we identify  $u$  to  $\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (-1)^{n-1} \frac{(u-1)^n}{n\pi^n}$ ). With these choices,

$$B_{st} = B_{cris}[u]$$

is a polynomial algebra in  $u$  with coefficients in  $B_{cris}$  and is a  $G_K$ -stable subring of  $B_{dR}$ . Moreover  $B_{dR}$  is a field containing  $K$  and, if we denote  $K_0$  the fraction field of the ring  $W(k)$  of Witt vectors with coefficients in  $k$ , we have:

$$H^0(K, B_{dR}^+) = H^0(K, B_{dR}) = K \quad \text{and} \quad H^0(K, B_{cris}) = H^0(K, B_{st}) = K_0.$$

The ring  $B_{st}$  is equipped with an endomorphism  $\varphi$  semi-linear with respect to the absolute Frobenius on  $K_0$  and the  $B_{cris}$ -derivation  $N = -d/du$ . The operators  $\varphi$  and  $N$  commute with  $G_K$  and satisfy  $N\varphi = p\varphi N$ . Therefore  $B_{cris}$  is the subring of  $B_{st}$  kernel of  $N$  and we define the ring  $B_e$  as the subring of  $B_{cris}$ , which is fixed by  $\varphi - 1$ . We have short exact sequences:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow B_{cris} \longrightarrow B_{st} \xrightarrow{N} B_{st} \longrightarrow 0, \\ 0 &\longrightarrow B_e \longrightarrow B_{cris} \xrightarrow{\varphi-1} B_{cris} \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

We set  $\tilde{B}_{dR} = B_{dR}/B_{dR}^+$  and, for all  $b \in B_{dR}$ , we denote  $\tilde{b}$  its image in  $\tilde{B}_{dR}$ . The *fundamental exact sequence of  $p$ -adic Hodge theory* is the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p \longrightarrow B_e \longrightarrow \tilde{B}_{dR} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{3}$$

where  $B_e \mapsto \tilde{B}_{dR}$  is the compositum of the inclusion  $B_e \subset B_{dR}$  with the projection  $B_{dR} \rightarrow \tilde{B}_{dR}$ .

We now consider a  $p$ -adic Galois representation, i.e. a finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -vector space  $V$  equipped with a continuous linear action of  $G_K$ . Recall that we have a natural filtration by sub- $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -vector spaces on  $H^1(K, V)$ , the *Kato's filtration*:

$$0 \subset H_e^1(K, V) \subset H_f^1(K, V) \subset H_{st}^1(K, V) \subset H_g^1(K, V) \subset H^1(K, V)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H_e^1(K, V) &= \ker(H^1(K, V) \longrightarrow H^1(K, B_e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V)), \\ H_f^1(K, V) &= \ker(H^1(K, V) \longrightarrow H^1(K, B_{cris} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V)), \\ H_{st}^1(K, V) &= \ker(H^1(K, V) \longrightarrow H^1(K, B_{st} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V)), \\ H_g^1(K, V) &= \ker(H^1(K, V) \longrightarrow H^1(K, B_{dR} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V)). \end{aligned}$$

We want to compute these cohomology groups. Recall that [5, Chap. I, §2.2.1] the *tangent space* of  $V$  is the  $K$ -vector space:

$$t_V = H^0(K, \tilde{B}_{dR} \otimes V).$$

We let  $N$  and  $\varphi$  act on  $B_{st} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V$  via  $N(b \otimes v) = Nb \otimes v$  and  $\varphi(b \otimes v) = \varphi b \otimes v$ . These actions commute with the action of  $G_K$ , hence  $N$  and  $\varphi$  act also on

$$D = D_{st}(V) = H^0(K, B_{st} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V)$$

which is a finite-dimensional  $K_0$ -vector space.

<sup>1</sup> If there is a (set-theoretic) continuous splitting of the projection  $V \rightarrow V''$ , we even get the usual long exact sequence (loc. cit.), but we will not use this fact.

1.1.  $H_e^1(K, V)$

Tensoring with  $V$ , we get from (3) a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow B_e \otimes V \longrightarrow \tilde{B}_{dR} \otimes V \longrightarrow 0$$

inducing a long exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(K, V) \longrightarrow D_{N=0, \varphi=1} \longrightarrow t_V \longrightarrow H_e^1(K, V) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{S_e}$$

where

$$D_{N=0, \varphi=1} = H^0(K, B_e \otimes V) = \{x \in D \mid Nx = 0, \varphi(x) = x\}.$$

1.2.  $H_f^1(K, V)$

Consider the map  $B_{cris} \rightarrow B_{cris} \oplus \tilde{B}_{dR}$  sending  $b$  to  $(\varphi b - b, \tilde{b})$ . From the exactness of (2) and (3), we get the exactness of

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p \longrightarrow B_{cris} \longrightarrow B_{cris} \oplus \tilde{B}_{dR} \longrightarrow 0. \tag{4}$$

Tensoring with  $V$ , we get a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow B_{cris} \otimes V \longrightarrow (B_{cris} \otimes V) \oplus (\tilde{B}_{dR} \otimes V) \longrightarrow 0$$

inducing a long exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(K, V) \longrightarrow D_{N=0} \longrightarrow D_{N=0} \oplus t_V \longrightarrow H_f^1(K, V) \longrightarrow 0. \tag{S_f}$$

1.3.  $H_{st}^1(K, V)$

Let

$$B'_{st} = \{(x, y) \in (B_{st})^2 \mid p\varphi x - x = Ny\}.$$

If  $z \in B_{st}$ , then  $(Nz, \varphi z - z) \in B'_{st}$ . We denote  $\iota : B_{st} \rightarrow B'_{st} \oplus \tilde{B}_{dR}$  the map  $z \mapsto ((Nz, \varphi z - z), \tilde{z})$ .

**Lemma 1.** *The sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p \longrightarrow B_{st} \xrightarrow{\iota} B'_{st} \oplus \tilde{B}_{dR} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{5}$$

is exact.

**Proof.** It is clear that  $\ker(\iota) = B_{st}^{N=0, \varphi=1} \cap B_{dR}^+ = \mathbb{Q}_p$ . We only need to show that  $\iota$  is surjective. Let  $((x, y), w) \in B'_{st} \oplus \tilde{B}_{dR}$ . By surjectivity of  $N : B_{st} \rightarrow B_{st}$ , there is a  $z_1 \in B_{st}$  such that  $Nz_1 = x$ . We have  $N(y - (\varphi z_1 - z_1)) = p\varphi x - x - N(\varphi z_1 - z_1) = 0$ , i.e.  $y - (\varphi z_1 - z_1) \in B_{cris}$ . By surjectivity of  $\varphi - 1 : B_{cris} \rightarrow B_{cris}$ , there is a  $z_2 \in B_{cris}$  such that  $\varphi z_2 - z_2 = y - (\varphi z_1 - z_1)$ . By surjectivity of  $B_e \rightarrow \tilde{B}_{dR}$ , there is a  $z_3 \in B_e$  such that  $\tilde{z}_3 = w - (\tilde{z}_1 + \tilde{z}_2)$ . Let  $z = z_1 + z_2 + z_3 \in B_{st}$ , then we have  $\iota(z) = ((x, y), w)$ .  $\square$

Tensoring (5) with  $V$ , we get a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow B_{st} \otimes V \longrightarrow (B'_{st} \otimes V) \oplus (\tilde{B}_{dR} \otimes V) \longrightarrow 0$$

inducing a long exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(K, V) \longrightarrow D \longrightarrow D' \oplus t_V \longrightarrow H_{st}^1(K, V) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{S_{st}}$$

where  $D' = H^0(K, B'_{st})$ .

Moreover  $D'$  can be easily computed from  $D$ :

**Proposition 2.** *Denote  $x \mapsto \bar{x}$  the projection of  $D$  onto  $D/ND$  and consider the maps*

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_0 : D_{N=0} &\longrightarrow D \oplus D_{N=0}, & w &\mapsto (w, -\varphi w + w), \\ \iota_1 : D \oplus D_{N=0} &\longrightarrow D \oplus D, & (u, v) &\mapsto (Nu, \varphi u - u + v), \\ \iota_2 : D' &\longrightarrow D/ND, & (x, y) &\mapsto \bar{x}. \end{aligned}$$

The image of  $\iota_1$  is contained in  $D'$ , the image of  $\iota_2$  is contained in  $(D/ND)_{\varphi=p-1}$  and the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow D_{N=0} \xrightarrow{\iota_0} D \oplus D_{N=0} \xrightarrow{\iota_1} D' \xrightarrow{\iota_2} (D/ND)_{\varphi=p-1} \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact.

**Proof.** The inclusions

$$\text{Image}(\iota_1) \subset D' \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Image}(\iota_2) \subset (D/ND)_{\varphi=p-1}$$

are obvious. We have:

$$D' = \{(x, y) \in D^2 \mid p\varphi x - x = Ny\}.$$

If  $x \in D$  lifts  $s \in (D/ND)_{\varphi=p-1}$ , then there exists  $y \in D$  such that  $Ny = p\varphi x - x$  and  $(x, y)$  is in  $D'$  and such that  $\iota_2(x, y) = s$ , hence  $\iota_2$  is onto.

If  $(u, v) \in D \oplus D_{N=0}$ , we have  $\iota_2(\iota_1(u, v)) = \iota_2(Nu, \varphi u - u + v) = 0$ . Conversely, if  $(x, y) \in D'$  lies in the kernel of  $\iota_2$ , it means there exists  $u \in D$  such that  $Nu = x$ . Hence  $(x, y) - \iota_1(u, 0)$  is an element of  $D'$  of the form  $(0, v)$  and  $Nv = 0$ . Hence  $(x, y) = \iota_1(u, v)$  and the image of  $\iota_1$  is the kernel of  $\iota_2$ .

If  $w \in D_{N=0}$ , then  $\iota_1(\iota_0(w)) = \iota_1(w, -\varphi w + w) = (Nw, \varphi w - w - \varphi w + w) = 0$ . Conversely, if  $(u, v)$  lies in the kernel of  $\iota_1$ , we have  $Nu = 0$  and  $v = -\varphi u + u$ , hence  $(u, v) = \iota_0(u)$ .

The map  $\iota_0$  is obviously injective and it concludes the proof.  $\square$

The following result is now obvious:

**Proposition 3.** The  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -vector spaces  $H_f^1(K, V)/H_e^1(K, V)$  and  $H_{st}^1(K, V)/H_e^1(K, V)$  are finite dimensional. We have:

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} H_f^1(K, V)/H_e^1(K, V) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} D_{N=0, \varphi=1}$$

and

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} H_{st}^1(K, V)/H_f^1(K, V) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} (D/ND)_{\varphi=p-1}.$$

## 2. The case of a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$

We assume now that  $K$  is a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . Recall that a  $p$ -adic Galois representation  $V$  of  $G_K$  is *potentially semi-stable* if there is a finite extension  $L$  of  $K$  contained in  $\bar{K}$  such that, if  $L_0$  is the fraction field of the ring of Witt vectors with coefficients in the residue field of  $L$ :

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V = \dim_{L_0} H^0(L, B_{st} \otimes V).$$

In this case, we can use Proposition 3 to compute the dimension of  $H_g^1(K, V)/H_f^1(K, V)$  and get Hyodo's celebrated result (cf. [6]):

**Main Theorem.** For a potentially semi-stable representation  $V$ ,

$$H_g^1(K, V) = H_{st}^1(K, V). \tag{*}$$

The original proof of Hyodo, never published, used decomposition of iso-crystals and unramified representations. This result has been extended by Laurent Berger [1] to the general case (Berger proves that any de Rham representation is potentially semi-stable), but his proof is much more involved.

### 2.1. Reduction to the semi-stable case

We consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^1(K, V) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_K} & H^1(K, B_{st} \otimes V) & \xrightarrow{\beta_K} & H^1(K, B_{dR} \otimes V) \\ \downarrow \text{Res} & & \downarrow \text{Res} & & \downarrow \text{Res} \\ H^1(L, V) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_L} & H^1(L, B_{st} \otimes V) & \xrightarrow{\beta_L} & H^1(L, B_{dR} \otimes V) \end{array}$$

where  $L$  is a finite extension of  $K$ . The vertical arrows are injective by the relation  $\text{Cor} \circ \text{Res} = [L : K]$ . The above diagram shows that the injectivity of  $\beta_L|_{\text{Im}(\alpha_L)}$  implies the injectivity of  $\beta_K|_{\text{Im}(\alpha_K)}$ .

By definition of  $H_{st}^1(K, V)$  and  $H_g^1(K, V)$ , we have the following commutative diagram, where the two horizontal sequences are exact:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & H_{st}^1(K, V) & \longrightarrow & H^1(K, V) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_K} & \text{Im}(\alpha_K) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \beta_K|_{\text{Im}(\alpha_K)} \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & H_g^1(K, V) & \longrightarrow & H^1(K, V) & \longrightarrow & H^1(K, B_{dR} \otimes V)
 \end{array}$$

By the Snake Lemma, we know that  $H_{st}^1(K, V) = H_g^1(K, V)$  is equivalent to the injectivity of  $\beta_K|_{\text{Im}(\alpha_K)}$ . So (\*) for  $K$  is equivalent to (\*) for  $L$ .

### 2.2. Computation of $\dim H_g^1(K, V)/H_f^1(K, V)$

Now assume  $V$  is semi-stable. Let  $V^*(1)$  be the dual representation twisted by the Tate module of the multiplicative group. Recall the following result of Bloch and Kato [2, propo. 3.8]:

**Lemma 4.** *The usual perfect pairing of class field theory (given by the cup-product)*

$$H^1(K, V) \times H^1(K, V^*(1)) \longrightarrow H^2(K, \mathbb{Q}_p(1)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Q}_p,$$

is such that

- (1)  $H_e^1(K, V)$  and  $H_g^1(K, V^*(1))$  are the exact annihilators of each other,
- (2)  $H_g^1(K, V)$  and  $H_e^1(K, V^*(1))$  are the exact annihilators of each other,
- (3)  $H_f^1(K, V)$  and  $H_f^1(K, V^*(1))$  are the exact annihilators of each other.

By the above Lemma, then

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} H_g^1(K, V)/H_f^1(K, V) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} H_f^1(K, V^*(1))/H_e^1(K, V^*(1)).$$

By Proposition 3, the latter one is equal to

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} D_{st}(V^*(1))_{N=0, \varphi=1} = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} D_{st}(V^*)_{N=0, \varphi=p^{-1}}.$$

By duality, this is equal to

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} ((D/ND)^*)^{\varphi=p^{-1}} = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} (D/ND)^{\varphi=p^{-1}},$$

which is equal to  $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} H_{st}^1(K, V)/H_f^1(K, V)$  by using Proposition 3 again. This concludes the proof of the Main Theorem. □

### Acknowledgements

This paper was partially supported by the National Key Basic Research Program of China (Grant No. 2013CB834202) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11171317).

This paper was prepared when the second author was visiting AMSS and MCM of the Chinese Academy of Sciences. He would like to thank Professor Ye Tian for his hospitality. We also would like to thank Shenxing Zhang for many helpful discussions. The first author would like to thank China Scholarship Council for financial support and Professor Tong Liu and Purdue University for hospitality during the preparation of this paper.

### References

- [1] L. Berger, Représentations  $p$ -adiques et équations différentielles, *Invent. Math.* 148 (2002) 219–284.
- [2] S. Bloch, K. Kato,  $L$ -functions and Tamagawa numbers of motives, in: P. Cartier, L. Illusie, N.M. Katz, G. Laumon, Y.I. Manin, K.A. Ribet (Eds.), *The Grothendieck Festschrift*, vol. 1, in: *Prog. Math.*, vol. 86, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, USA, 1990, pp. 333–400.
- [3] J.-M. Fontaine, Le corps des périodes  $p$ -adiques, in: J.-M. Fontaine (Ed.), *Périodes  $p$ -Adiques*, in: *Astérisque*, vol. 223, Société mathématique de France, Paris, 1994, pp. 59–111.
- [4] J.-M. Fontaine, Y. Ouyang, Theory of  $p$ -adic Galois representations, preprint, <http://staff.ustc.edu.cn/~yiouyang/galoisrep.pdf>.
- [5] J.-M. Fontaine, B. Perrin-Riou, Autour des conjectures de Bloch et Kato : cohomologie galoisienne et valeurs de fonctions  $L$ , in: *Motives (Part 1)*, in: *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.*, vol. 55, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, USA, 1994, pp. 599–706.
- [6] O. Hyodo,  $H_g^1 = H_{st}^1$ , unpublished.
- [7] J. Tate, Relations between  $K_2$  and Galois cohomology, *Invent. Math.* 36 (1976) 257–274.